

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Vinginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FI	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/665,911 09/20/2000		09/20/2000	Yasuhiko Nomura	001221	5447	
23850	7590	08/19/2003	·	·		
	•	STERMAN & HA	EXAMI	EXAMINER		
1725 K STR SUITE 1000	•		LANDAU, MATTHEW C			
WASHINGTON, DC 20006				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
				2815		
				DATE MAILED: 08/19/2003		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

_	A marilian Aliana NI	A 1: 4/ - 3	an				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	09/665,911	NOMURA ET AL.					
	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Matthew Landau	2815					
pe	ars on the cover she t with the c	correspondence add	ress				
THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. o avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a :: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in peal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued							
RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]						
	the final rejection.						
Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no er than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.  AS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP							
e date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee ktension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under ened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in e months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any							
Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.							
d b	ecause:						
rth	er consideration and/or search (	(see NOTE below);					
te t	pelow);						
on in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the							
celing a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.							
jec	tion(s):						
uld	be allowable if submitted in a s	separate, timely filed	d amendment				
t for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the							
bed	because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly						
	c(s) a) will not be entered or bould be rejected is provided bel		and an				

Advisory Action	9/665,911 NOMURA ET AL.							
	Examiner	Art Unit						
· ·	Matthew Landau	2815						
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover she t with the correspondence address								
THE REPLY FILED 03 July 2003 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a inal rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.								
PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]								
a) The period for reply expires 6 months from the mailing date of b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adv event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).  Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The dat have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extens 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three most partner adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	isory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THI te on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 sion and the corresponding amount of the statutory period for reply originally set in	f the final rejection. E FINAL REJECTION. S 136(a) and the appropriate fee. The appropriate ext the final Office action; or	See MPEP e extension fee tension fee under (2) as set forth in					
1 A Notice of Appeal was filed on <u>04 August 2003</u> . A 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFI	• •	•	orth in					
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:								
(a) ☑ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);								
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);								
(c) ☑ they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or								
(d)  they present additional claims without cancel	ing a corresponding number of	finally rejected clair	ns.					
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.								
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection	tion(s):							
<ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>	be allowable if submitted in a s	eparate, timely filed	amendment t					
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request fo application in condition for allowance because:	r reconsideration has been cons	sidered but does NC	OT place the					
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaused by the Examiner in the final rejection.	cause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which we	re newly					
<ol> <li>For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we</li> </ol>	(s) a)☐ will not be entered or bould be rejected is provided belo	)□ will be entered ow or appended.	and an					
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:								
Claim(s) allowed:	Claim(s) allowed:							
Claim(s) objected to:								
Claim(s) rejected:								
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:								
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is	a) ☐ approved or b) ☐ disapp	proved by the Exam	niner.					
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Stateme	nt(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	<u>8</u> .						
10. Other:	,		İ					
			1					

Continuation of 2. NOTE: The proposed amendment incorporates claims 2 and 4 into claim 1. This proposed combination of claims, as well as any claims depending the efrom, raises new issues that would require further consideration and/or search. Furthermore, the proposed amendment to claim 1 recites redundant structural limitations that would require further search and/or consideration.

JEROME JACKSON PRIMARY EXAMINER